Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

Rejection of the Claims

Claims 9 and 11-12 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 02(e) as being

anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2004/0073612, Maria at al. ("Maria"). In

addition, claims 1-8, 10, 13-15 and 16-23 were again rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

as being unpatentable over Maria. These rejections are most respectfully traversed, as

follows.

It is most respectfully submitted that the present claims set forth combinations of

features that are not even remotely taught or suggested by the references of record.

The foregoing arguments submitted in the prior response are incorporated herein by

reference. In addition, for the Examiner's appreciation, some additional remarks are

included below.

Independent Claim 1

Among other things, claim 1 recites:

**"a microprocessor programmed to terminate a connection between the user
computer and the network when an originating IP address of a data packet
received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to
the user computer that is contained in the memory."**

6

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

The <u>Maria</u> reference does not even remotely teach or suggest such features. The following sections discuss some of these and other deficiencies in further detail.

1.   <u>No Match to Computer</u>

Among other things, the above-identified recitations in claim 1 include having an "IP address **assigned** to the user computer." Emphasis added. On the other hand, the <u>Maria</u> reference does not involve having any IP Address being assigned to any computer. As set forth above, the IP addresses in the <u>Maria</u> reference are addressed without regard to the identity of the source computer. As set forth above, the <u>Maria</u> system merely passes packets as long as they are from any one of a long list of IP Addresses, <u>see</u> <u>e.g.</u> column 2, lines 42+, without any regard for whether or not a particular source computer transmits a packet having a particular IP Address.

2.   <u>No Termination Without Match</u>

Among other things, the above-noted recitations in claim 1 also include that there is a "microprocessor programmed to terminate a connection ... when an originating IP address ... does **not match the IP address assigned to the user computer**." It is most respectfully submitted that the <u>Maria</u> reference **cannot be reasonably construed**

7

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

to include such features.

Notably, the <u>Maria</u> reference maintains a large source list of IP Addresses – i.e., which includes "hundreds to several thousand" IP Addresses. Accordingly, the <u>Maria</u> reference will **not** "terminate a connection" under the conditions recited in claim 1. Notably, since the source list includes hundreds or thousands IP Addresses, which relate to hundreds or thousands of computers, the <u>Maria</u> reference will necessarily allow the communication and will clearly **allow** the connection under many situations in which "an originating IP address ... does **not** match [an] IP address assigned to the user computer," rather than terminating the connection.

3.   <u>No Prevention of Unauthorized Access Via That User Computer</u>

In addition to the foregoing, it is noted that claim 1 is directed to "[a]n access control system for preventing an unauthorized access to a network via a user computer." On the other hand, the <u>Maria</u> reference does not contemplate what identity a source computer may have, much less how to prevent unauthorized access via such a computer.

8

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

Independent Claim 5

Among other things, claim 5 recites:

"a microprocessor programmed to terminate a conne : ion between the user computer and the host computer system when an origine t ng IP address of a data packet received from the user computer **does not n i atch the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in th ɛ memory**"

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1, the Maria reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of feɛ lures recited in claim 5.

Independent Claim 9

Among other things, claim 9 recites:

"**denying the user computer an access** to the network iɪ the originating IP address of the data packet is **different from the IP addrɵ ɕs of the user computer stored in the memory** of the access control sɥstem"

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1 the Maria reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of feɛ lɟres recited in claim 9.

Independent Claim 13

Among other things, claim 13 recites:

"**terminating a connection** between the user computer ɛ rɪd the host computer system if the originating IP address of the data packet is ɪ lɪfferent from the IP address of the user computer stored in the memory oɪ the access control system."

9

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1, the <u>Maria</u> reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of features recited in claim

13.

Independent Claim 16

Among other things, claim 16 recites:

"the access control system is **programmed to terminate** a **connection** between the host computer system and the user computer when a originating IP address of a data packet sent from the user computer for transmission to a node in the secure network **does not match the IP address of the user computer contained in the memory** of the access control system."

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1 the <u>Maria</u> reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of features recited in claim

16.

Independent Claim 20

Among other things, claim 20 recites:

"the access control system is **programmed to deny the user computer an access** to the secure network when an originating IP address of a data packet sent from the user computer for transmission to a node in the secure network **does not match the IP address of the user computer contained in the memory** of the access control system."

10

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1, the <u>Maria</u> reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of features recited in claim

20.

Independent Claim 21

Among other things, claim 21 recites:

"a comparator structure configured to **terminate a connection** between the user computer and the network when an originating IP address of a data packet received from the user computer **does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory.**"

Parallel to the discussion above with reference to claim 1 the <u>Maria</u> reference

does not even remotely teach or suggest the combination of features recited in claim

21.

In view of the foregoing remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all of the

independent claims should be allowable. In addition, the dependant claims should also

be allowable for reasons parallel to that set forth above. In addition, the dependent

claims also recite additional features that are further not taught or suggested by the

references.

11

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

APPENDIX: Listing of the Claims

1. (Original) An access control system for preventing an unauthorized access to a network via a user computer connected to the network, the system comprising;

a memory containing an IP address assigned to the user computer; and

a microprocessor programmed to terminate a connection between the user computer and the network when an originating IP address of a data packet received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory.

2. (Original) The access control system of claim 1, wherein the microprocessor is further programmed to delete the IP address of the user computer from the memory when the originating IP address of the data packet received from user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory.

3. (Original) The access control system of claim 1, wherein the microprocessor is further programmed to update the IP address of the user computer contained in the memory.

13

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

4. (Original) The access control system of claim 1, wher in the memory is a part of the microprocessor.

5. (Original) An access control system for preventing an unauthorized access to a network via a user computer connected to the network through a host computer system, the system comprising:

a memory containing an IP address assigned to the user computer; and

a microprocessor programmed to terminate a connection between the user computer and the host computer system when an originating IP address of a data packet received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory,

wherein the access control system is located between the user computer and the host computer system.

6. (Original) The access control system of claim 5, wherein the microprocessor is further programmed to delete the IP address of the user computer from the memory when the originating IP address of the data packet received from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer that is contained in the memory.

14

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

7. (Original) The access control system of claim 5, wher : in the microprocessor is further programmed to update the IP address of the user com; uter contained in the memory.

8. (Original) The access control system of claim 5, wher : in the memory is a part of the microprocessor.

9. (Original) A method for preventing an unauthorized ac ;ess to a network via a user computer which is connected to the network and to an acc(:s control system, the method comprising:

storing an IP address of the user computer in a memory (r the access control system;

receiving a data packet from the user computer;

comparing an originating IP address of the data packet w th the IP address of the user computer stored in the memory of the access control syste ri; and

denying the user computer an access to the network if th(: originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user co nputer stored in the memory of the access control system.

15

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

10. (Original) The method of claim 9, wherein the denyii ɉ step includes

terminating the connection between the user computer and the network.

11. (Original) The method of claim 9, further comprising updating the IP address

of the user computer stored in the memory of the access contro system.

12. (Original) The method of claim 9, further comprising deleting the IP address

of the user computer from the memory of the access control sys em if the originating IP

address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user computer stored

in the memory of the access control system.

13. (Original) A method of preventing an unauthorized a :ɔess to a network via a

user computer connected to the network through a host comput(· system which is

connected to an access control system, the method comprising:

storing an IP address of the user computer in a memory c f the access control

system;

receiving a data packet from the user computer;

comparing an originating IP address of the data packet wi ɪ the IP address of the

user computer stored in the memory of the access control syste r; and

16

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

terminating a connection between the user computer and the host computer

system if the originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of

the user computer stored in the memory of the access control system.

14. (Original) The method of claim 13, further comprising deleting the IP

address of the user computer from the memory of the access control system if the

originating IP address of the data packet is different from the IP address of the user

computer stored in the memory of the access control system.

15. (Original) The method of claim 13, further comprising updating the IP

address of the user computer stored in the memory of the access control system.

16. (Previously Amended) A secure network comprising

a host computer system connected to the secure network;

an access control system connected to the host computer system and having a

memory; and

a user computer connected to the host computer system and configured to

access the secure network through the host computer system,

wherein the memory of the access control system is programmed to terminate a

17

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

connection between the host computer system and the user coir puter when an

originating IP address of a data packet sent from the user comp ter for transmission to

a node in the secure network does not match the IP address of ihe user computer

contained in the memory of the access control system.

17. (Original) The secure network of claim 16, wherein t e user computer and

the host computer system are connected via a Public Switched Felephone Network.

18. (Original) The secure network of claim 16, wherein t ie host computer

system comprises an access server and a plurality of modems and wherein the access

control system is located between the access server and the plurality of modems.

19. (Original) The secure network of claim 16, wherein the host computer

system and the user computer are connected via a local area net work.

20. (Original) A secure network comprising:

a user computer connected to the secure network; and

an access control system connected to the user computel and having a memory,

wherein the memory of the access control system contains an IP address

18

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

assigned to the user computer, and wherein the access control system is programmed

to deny the user computer an access to the secure network when an originating IP

address of a data packet sent from the user computer for transmission to a node in the

secure network does not match the IP address of the user computer contained in the

memory of the access control system.

21. (Previously Amended) An access control system for preventing an

unauthorized access to a network via a user computer connected to the network, the

system comprising:

a memory containing an IP address assigned to the user computer; and

a comparator structure configured to terminate a connection between the user

computer and the network when an originating IP address of a data packet received

from the user computer does not match the IP address assigned to the user computer

that is contained in the memory.

22. (Original) The access control system of claim 21, wherein a comparator

structure comprises a microprocessor.

23. (Original) The access control system of claim 22, wherein the memory is a

19

Appl. No.: 09/690,818
Reply to Office Action of January 27, 2005

part of the microprocessor.

20